

AO 247 (02/08) Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Central District of California

United States of America

v.

Case No: EDCR00-00033-RT

USM No: \_\_\_\_\_

Victor Cannon

Defendant's Attorney

Date of Previous Judgment: 01/22/2001

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable)

**Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☐ DENIED. ☒ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of 140 months months is reduced to 120 months.

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE** (Prior to Any Departures)Previous Offense Level: 29Amended Offense Level: 27Criminal History Category: IICriminal History Category: IIPrevious Guideline Range: 140 to 175 monthsAmended Guideline Range: 120 to 150 months**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**☒ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

☐ Other (explain):**III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 1/22/01 shall remain in effect.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**Order Date: 5/23/08

Robert J. Timlin  
Judge's signature

Effective Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
(if different from order date)

Robert J. Timlin, U.S. District Judge  
Printed name and title